

SEQUENCE OF EXACT ZERO-DIVISORS

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI¹ AND MOHSEN GHEIBI²

ABSTRACT. The concept of a sequence of exact zero-divisors on a noetherian local ring is defined and studied. Some properties of sequences of exact zero-divisors are compared with regular sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring. According to I. B. Henriques and L. M. Şega [6], an element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ is said to be an exact zero-divisor if $(0 :_R a)$ is isomorphic to $R/(a)$. They studied the minimal free resolution of a finitely generated R -module for which $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ and admits an exact zero-divisor. They also showed that R is Gorenstein if and only if $R/(a)$ is Gorenstein ring (see [6, Remark 1.6]). Also as shown in [1], $\dim R = \dim R/(a)$ and R is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $R/(a)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see [1, Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 4.3]). These properties shows that exact zero-divisors behave like regular elements. On the other hand when a is an exact zero-divisor on R , one has $\text{proj.dim}_R R/(a) = \infty$ while $\text{G-dim}_R R/(a) = 0$. Recall that a finitely generated R -module M is said to have G-dimension zero and write $\text{G-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if M is reflexive (i.e. the natural map $M \rightarrow M^{**}$ is an isomorphism), and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(M^*, R)$ for all $i > 0$, where $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$. In [4] and [8], the authors construct infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable G-dimension zero modules by using exact zero-divisors.

In particular, in [4, Theorem 1.4], it is shown constructively that, if R is a short local ring of length e and algebraically closed residue field such that it admits an exact zero-divisor, then for each positive integer n , there exists an infinite family of indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic G-dimension zero modules of length ne with periodic free resolutions of period at most 2.

Recently, the homological behaviors of modules over local rings modulo exact zero-divisors have been studied and compared with the homological behavior of modules over local rings modulo regular elements (see [2]). These ideas have encouraged us to define and study sequences of exact zero-divisors, discuss about their existence and lengths in comparison with the regular sequences.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D07, 13D02, 14M10.

Key words and phrases. exact zero-divisors, sequence of exact zero-divisors, quasi-complete intersection ideal, complete intersection ring, regular ring.

M.T. Dibaei was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. . . .).

In section 2, we define sequence of exact zero-divisors on an arbitrary module over a commutative noetherian ring and study basic properties of rings and modules which admit a sequence of exact zero-divisors.

In section 3, we study sequence of exact zero-divisors over artinian local rings. As main result of this section, we show that a standard graded short local ring R is Koszul complete intersection if and only if it admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length equal to socle degree of R .

In section 4, we define strong sequences of exact zero-divisors and study some conditions, under which, over a noetherian local ring, a sequence of exact zero-divisors is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors. Also we give an upper bound for the maximal length of a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on a local ring in terms of the ring multiplicity. Finally, it is shown that, under certain conditions, the quotient of a complete intersection ring by a sequence of exact zero-divisors is a regular ring.

2. SEQUENCE OF EXACT ZERO-DIVISORS

Throughout R is commutative noetherian ring with identity element. The notion of an exact zero divisor is introduced in [6].

Definition 2.1. [6, Definition] Let R be a local ring. A non-unit element $x \neq 0$ in R is said to be an *exact zero-divisor* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds.

- (i) $(0 :_R x) \cong R/(x)$.
- (ii) There exists $y \in R$ such that the sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \longrightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of $R/(x)$ over R .

- (iii) There exists $y \in R$ such that $(0 :_R x) = (y)$ and $(0 :_R y) = (x)$.

In this case (x, y) is called a *pair* of exact zero-divisors. Note that for fixed x , the element y is unique up to multiplication by a unit. We call x (resp. y) the twin of y (resp. x).

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that if (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors, then $\text{G-dim}_R(R/(x)) = \text{G-dim}_R(R/(y)) = 0$. Hence by Auslander-Bridger formula $\text{depth } R = \text{depth } R/(x) = \text{depth } R/(y)$. Also by [1, Theorem 3.3] $\dim R = \dim R/(x) = \dim R/(y)$. Thus R is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $R/(x)$ (equivalently, $R/(y)$) is (maximal) Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover R is Gorenstein if and only if $R/(x)$ (equivalently, $R/(y)$) is Gorenstein (see [6, Remark 1.6]).

Definition 2.3. Let R be a (not necessarily local) ring and let M be an R -module (not necessarily finitely generated). Let x be an element of R . We say that x is an *exact zero-divisor* on M if $M \neq xM$, $x \notin 0 :_R M$ and there is $y \in R$ such that $0 :_M x = yM$ and $0 :_M y = xM$. In this case we call (x, y) a pair of exact zero-divisors on M . We refer to y as a *twin* of x . Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be elements in R . We call $x_1, \dots, x_n$ a *sequence of exact zero-divisors* on M if x_i is an exact zero-divisor on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We call $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero divisors on R if it is so when R is considered as an R -module.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of exact zero-divisors on M . Then $0 :_M (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cong M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M$. In particular, if $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R , then $0 :_R (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a principal ideal.*

Proof. Let $x \in R$ be an exact zero-divisor on M so that there is $y \in R$ such that $0 :_M x = yM$ and $0 :_M y = xM$. Clearly, the map $0 :_M x \rightarrow M/xM$ defined by $ym \mapsto m + xM$ for all $m \in M$ is an R -isomorphism.

Now let $n > 1$. Set $\overline{R} = R/(x_1)$ and $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$. Then $\overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on M/x_1M over $R/(x_1)$. By induction we have

$$\begin{aligned} M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M &\cong 0 :_{\overline{M}} (\overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R/(x_1)}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n), M/(x_1)M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R/(x_1)}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{Hom}_R(R/(x_1), M)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{R/(x_1)} R/(x_1), M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n), M) \\ &\cong 0 :_M (x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Assume that x, y are elements of R . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M .
- (ii) (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $M/\alpha M$ for any M -regular element $\alpha \in R$.
- (iii) (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisor on $M/\alpha^n M$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for some M -regular element $\alpha \in R$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\alpha \in R$ be an M -regular element. As $yM = 0 :_M x \cong M/xM$, one has $\text{Ass}_R M/xM \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M$. Hence α is also an M/xM -regular element. The exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{y} M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0,$$

by [3, Proposition 1.1.5], implies the exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{y} M/\alpha M \xrightarrow{x} M/\alpha M \rightarrow M/(x, \alpha)M \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $M/\alpha M$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We have $xyM \subseteq \cap_{n \geq 1} \alpha^n M = 0$. Hence $yM \subseteq 0 :_M x$. Conversely, let $m \in 0 :_M x$. From the fact that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $M/\alpha^n M$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have $m \in yM + \alpha^n M$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore $m \in yM$. Similarly $0 :_M y = xM$. □

Now we are able to show that M and M/xM have equal depths whenever x is an exact zero-divisor on M .

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a local ring, M a finitely generated R -module and that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M . Then*

$$\text{depth}_R M = \text{depth}_R M/xM = \text{depth}_R M/yM.$$

Proof. Note that if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is an M -regular sequence then it follows from Proposition 2.5, that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is also an M/xM and M/yM -regular sequence. Hence $\text{depth}_R M/xM \geq \text{depth}_R M$. As, by Proposition 2.5, x is an exact zero-divisor on $M/(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)M$, we may assume that $\text{depth}_R M = 0$. Note that, by Proposition 2.4, $\text{Hom}_R(R/(x), M) \cong M/xM$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(k, M/xM) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(k, \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), M)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(k \otimes_R R/(x), M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(k, M) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{depth}_R M/xM = 0$. For M/yM we treat in the same way. $\square$

In the following result we prove that, over local ring R , if (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on a finitely generated R -module M , then M , M/xM and M/yM have equal dimensions. Its proof is similar to that of [1, Theorem 3.3]. Here we bring the proof for convenience of the reader.

First we need the following lemma which is the module version of [5, Theorem 4.1]. Note that $\ell(-)$ denotes the length function.

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\dim M = 1$. Let $x \in R$ be a parameter element of M and set $y = ux$, $u \in R$. If the map $M/xM \xrightarrow{u} M/yM$ given by $m + xM \mapsto um + yM$ is injective, then y is also a parameter element of M .*

Proof. We may assume that $\dim R = 1$. As x is a parameter element of M , $0 :_M x$ has finite length. Hence it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_M (x, u) \longrightarrow 0 :_M x \xrightarrow{u} 0 :_M x \longrightarrow \frac{0 :_M x}{u(0 :_M x)} \longrightarrow 0$$

that $\ell(\frac{0 :_M x}{u(0 :_M x)}) = \ell(0 :_M (x, u))$.

Assume contrarily that y is not a parameter element of M . Hence u is not a parameter element of M . Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \min \text{Supp } M$ such that $u \in \mathfrak{p}$, so that $\dim_R(0 :_M u) > 0$. Set $N = 0 :_M u$. We have $e(x, N) > 0$, where $e(x, N)$ is the multiplicity of N with respect to the parameter ideal (x) . By [3, Theorem 4.7.4], we have $\ell(N/xN) - \ell(0 :_N x) = e(x, N) > 0$ and as $0 :_N x = 0 :_M (x, u)$, we obtain

$$\ell(N/xN) > \ell(\frac{0 :_M x}{u(0 :_M x)}).$$

Now as the map $M/xM \xrightarrow{u} M/yM$ is injective, we have $N \subseteq xM$ and therefore $N = x(0 :_M xu)$. Hence

$$N/xN = \frac{x(0 :_M xu)}{xN} \cong \frac{0 :_M xu}{N + (0 :_M x)} \cong \frac{u(0 :_M xu)}{u(0 :_M x)} \subseteq \frac{0 :_M x}{u(0 :_M x)}.$$

It follows that $\ell(\frac{0 :_M x}{u(0 :_M x)}) \geq \ell(N/xN)$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Let (x, y) be a pair of exact zero-divisors on M . Then $\dim_R M = \dim_R M/xM = \dim_R M/yM$.*

Proof. For $d = 0$ we have nothing to prove.

We first consider the case $\dim_R M = 1$. Assume contrarily that $\dim_R M/xM = 0$. Hence x is a parameter element of M . As (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M , the map $M/xM \rightarrow M$ given by $m + xM \mapsto ym$ is injective. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that xy is a parameter element of M which is a contradiction because $xyM = 0$.

Now let $d > 1$ and assume contrarily that $\dim_R M/xM < d$. Choose $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}_R M$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} = d$. Hence $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $\dim_R M/xM = \dim R/(\mathfrak{q} + Rx)$. There is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{q} + Rx \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\dim_R M/xM = \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - 1$ and so $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} = 1$. As (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M , by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/yM \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain $(M/yM)_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq 0$. Hence $y \in \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, $(x/1, y/1)$ is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. As $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/xM_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$, by the previous case this is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be a local ring and M be a finitely generated R -module. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of exact zero-divisors on M . Then M is Cohen-Macaulay R -module if and only if $M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M$ is Cohen-Macaulay R -module.*

Proof. By induction it follows from Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 2.8. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Let R be a ring, (x, y) a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . Assume that M is an R -module and set $\overline{R} = R/(x)$. Consider the following statements.*

- (i) (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M .
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\overline{R}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.
- (iii) $\text{Tor}_i^R(\overline{R}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). If $M \neq xM$ and $x \notin (0 :_R M)$ then the statements (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

Proof. It follows from the exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow 0$$

that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. The second part is clear. $\square$

Example 2.11. If (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on R , it follows from Lemma 2.10 that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on any injective, projective or flat R -module M , whenever $M \neq xM$ and $x \notin 0 :_R M$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d with canonical module ω_R . Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R . Then $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on ω_R . More precisely, $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d with the canonical module $\omega_R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)\omega_R$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the case $n = 1$. Let x be an exact zero-divisor on R . Since R/xR is maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module by Remark 2.2, we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/xR, \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.10, x is an exact zero-divisor on ω_R . On the other hand, $0 :_{\omega_R} x \cong \omega_R/x\omega_R$ by Proposition 2.4. As $0 :_{\omega_R} x$ is the canonical module of R/xR , we are done. $\square$

Proposition 2.13. *Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of exact zero-divisors on both R and M with the same twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. Set $\overline{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\overline{M} = M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M$ and let N be an $\overline{R}$ -module. Then the following statements hold true.*

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(N, \overline{M})$ for all $i \geq 0$.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(\overline{M}, N)$ for all $i \geq 0$.
- (iii) $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, N)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove the case $n = 1$ and for $n > 1$ the result follows inductively.

- (i) Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of M . Since (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisor on R and on M , by Lemma 2.10, $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, I^\bullet)$ is exact and it is an injective resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, M) \cong \overline{M}$ as $\overline{R}$ -module. Hence $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(N, \overline{M}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$.
- (ii) By Lemma 2.10, $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \overline{R}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Let $F_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a free resolution of M . Then $F_\bullet \otimes_R \overline{R} \rightarrow M \otimes_R \overline{R} \rightarrow 0$ is exact so that it is a free resolution of $\overline{M}$ as $\overline{R}$ -module. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = \text{H}^i(\text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, N)) \cong \text{H}^i(\text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{R}, N))) \cong \text{H}^i(\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(F_\bullet \otimes_R \overline{R}, N)) = \text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(\overline{M}, N)$.

(iii) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) &= \text{H}_i(F_\bullet \otimes_R N) \\ &\cong \text{H}_i(F_\bullet \otimes_R (\overline{R} \otimes_{\overline{R}} N)) \\ &\cong \text{H}_i((F_\bullet \otimes_R \overline{R}) \otimes_{\overline{R}} N) \\ &= \text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, N). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.14. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on both R and M with the same twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. Then $\text{proj.dim}_R(M) = \text{proj.dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M})$ and $\text{inj.dim}_R(M) = \text{inj.dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, we have $\text{proj.dim}_R(M) = \text{Sup}\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(M, k) \neq 0\} = \text{Sup}\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, k) \neq 0\} = \text{proj.dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M})$ and $\text{inj.dim}_R(M) = \text{Sup}\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) \neq 0\} = \text{Sup}\{i \mid \text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(k, \overline{M}) \neq 0\} = \text{inj.dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M})$. $\square$

Corollary 2.15. *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings such that S is flat R -module via f . Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R . If $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ are non-zero and non-unit elements of S , then $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on S . Moreover $\overline{f} : \overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{S}$ is flat ring homomorphism, where $\overline{(-)} = (-)/(x_1, \dots, x_n)\overline{(-)}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove for the case $n = 1$. We have $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_1), S) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. As x_1 is an exact zero-divisor on R , by Lemma 2.10, x_1 is an exact zero-divisor on R -module S and so that $f(x_1)$ is an exact zero-divisor on S . Note that by Proposition 2.13, we have $\text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(N, \overline{S}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all $\overline{R}$ -module N . Hence $\overline{S}$ is flat as $\overline{R}$ -module. $\square$

Remark 2.16. It follows inductively from Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.13, that if $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on both R and M with the same twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\overline{R}, M) = \text{Tor}_i^R(M, \overline{R}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, where $\overline{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Moreover one easily finds that $\text{G-dim}_R \overline{R} = 0$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let R be a ring and let (x, y) be a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . Assume that $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules such that $M_j \neq xM_j$ and $x \notin 0 :_R M_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then if (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on two out of three modules M_1 , M_2 and M_3 , then it is a pair of exact zero-divisors on the third one. More precisely the sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{M_1} \rightarrow \overline{M_2} \rightarrow \overline{M_3} \rightarrow 0$ of $\overline{R}$ -modules is exact, where $\overline{(-)} = - \otimes_R R/(x)$.*

Proof. Assume that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M_1 and M_2 . Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, -)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ gives $\text{Ext}_R^i(\overline{R}, M_3) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, by Lemma 2.10. Hence the result follows from Lemma 2.10. If (x, y) is a pair exact zero-divisors on M_2 and M_3 , then applying the functor $\overline{(-)}$ we get $\text{Tor}_i^R(M_1, \overline{R}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Again, by Lemma 2.10, the result follows. The remaining part is treated in the same way. $\square$

Let R be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Let $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$ be a minimal free resolution of M . Assume that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . It follows from Lemma 2.17 that if (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on at least one syzygy module of M , then it is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M and on all the syzygy modules of M . Moreover in this case $\mathbf{F}/x\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M/xM$ is a minimal free resolution of M/xM as $R/(x)$ -module.

Proposition 2.18. *Let R be a ring and (x, y) be a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . Let M be an R -module such that $M \neq xM$ and $x \notin (0 :_R M)$ and set $\overline{(-)} = - \otimes_R R/(x)$. Assume that at least one of $\text{inj.dim}_R M$, $\text{proj.dim}_R M$, or $\text{flatdim}_R M$ is finite. Then the following statements hold true.*

- (a) (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M .
- (b) $\text{inj.dim}_{\overline{R}} \overline{M} \leq \text{inj.dim}_R M$, $\text{proj.dim}_{\overline{R}} \overline{M} \leq \text{proj.dim}_R M$, or $\text{flatdim}_{\overline{R}} \overline{M} \leq \text{flatdim}_R M$.
- (c) If R is local and M is finitely generated R -module, then each inequality in (b), if exists, is equality.

Proof. (a) and (b). We just prove in the case where $\text{inj.dim}_R(M) < \infty$ and for the others the proof is similar. First assume that $\text{inj.dim}_R(M) = 0$. Then Example 2.11 implies that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M . On the other hand, Proposition 2.13 implies

that $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(N, \overline{M}) = \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) = 0$ for all $\overline{R}$ -module N and all $i > 0$. Thus $\overline{M}$ is injective $\overline{R}$ -module.

Let $\text{inj.dim}_R(M) = 1$. Consider an exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow 0$$

such that I and M' are injective R -modules. Hence we have $\text{Ext}_R^{i>0}(\overline{R}, I) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{i>0}(\overline{R}, M')$. By Lemma 2.10, $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(\overline{R}, I) = 0 = \text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(\overline{R}, M')$. Hence $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(\overline{R}, M) = 0$. Again Lemma 2.10 implies that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M . Now applying $\overline{(-)}$ on (2.1) implies the exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \overline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{I} \longrightarrow \overline{M'} \longrightarrow 0.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that (x, y) is also a pair of exact zero divisors on I and so $\overline{I}$ is injective $\overline{R}$ -module. Note that if $\overline{M'} \neq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, M') \cong \overline{M'}$ so that $\overline{M'}$ is injective as $\overline{R}$ -module. Thus $\text{inj.dim}_{\overline{R}} \overline{M} \leq 1$. Now we proceed by induction.

If R is local and M is finitely generated, then the equality holds by Corollary 2.14 and the fact that finitely generated flat modules coincide with projective modules. $\square$

Proposition 2.19. *Let R be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Assume (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on both R and M and that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Then we have*

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(M/xM, R) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(R/(x), M^*)$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$.

In particular if M is G -dimension zero R -module, then M/xM is G -dimension zero R -module ($R/(x)$ -module), if and only if (x, y) also is a pair of exact zero-divisor on M^* .

Proof. Choose $F_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$, the minimal free resolution of R -module $R/(x)$, and let $I^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be an injective resolution of R . Consider the third quadrant double complex $X : \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, M), I^\bullet)$. Let ${}^1E$ (resp. ${}^{11}E$) denote the spectral sequence determined by the first filtration (resp. second filtration) of $\text{Tot}(X)$. Then we have ${}^1E_2^{i,j} \cong \text{Ext}_R^j(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M), R)$. As (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M , Lemma 2.10 implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Hence by Proposition 2.4,

$${}^1E_2^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}_R^j(M/xM, R) & i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next for computing ${}^{11}E_2$, we use the functorial isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, M), I^\bullet) \cong F_\bullet \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, I^\bullet)$ of double complexes. Hence we have ${}^{11}E_2^{i,j} \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x), \text{Ext}_R^j(M, R))$. As $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R) = 0$ for all $j > 0$, we have

$${}^{11}E_2^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x), M^*) & j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As the two spectral sequences ${}^1E$ and ${}^uE$ collapse, we have ${}^1E_\infty = {}^1E_2$ and ${}^uE_\infty = {}^uE_2$. Thus one obtain the isomorphisms $\text{Ext}_R^n(M/xM, R) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(R/(x), M^*)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For the next part, the fact that $\text{G-dim}_R(M/xM) = 0$ implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x), M^*) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.10, (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M^* . Conversely, let (x, y) be a pair of exact zero-divisors on M^* . By Lemma 2.10, $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/(x), M^*) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^n(M/xM, R) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. On the other hand, replacing M with M^* , we have $\text{Ext}_R^n(M^*/xM^*, R) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(R/(x), M^{**}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x), M) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. By the first part, we have $M^*/xM^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M/xM, R) = (M/xM)^*$. So we have $\text{Ext}_R^n((M/xM)^*, R) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. Now it remains to show that M/xM is reflexive R -module. As M is reflexive, the natural map $M \rightarrow M^{**}$ is an isomorphism. Hence tensoring by $R/(x)$ gives the natural isomorphism $R/(x) \otimes_R M \rightarrow R/(x) \otimes_R M^{**}$ which implies $M/xM \cong (M/xM)^{**}$.

Note that as by Proposition 2.13, $\text{Ext}_{R/(x)}^n(M/xM, R/(x)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(M/xM, R)$, with the same argument one can see that M/xM also is a G-dimension zero $R/(x)$ -module if and only if (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on M^* . $\square$

3. SEQUENCE OF EXACT ZERO-DIVISORS AND COMPLETE INTERSECTION RINGS

Throughout this section R is a noetherian ring. We denote the number of a minimal generator of the finitely generated R -module M by $\mu_R(M)$. A sequence of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of R is called *minimal* if $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n$.

Let R be a local ring and I be an ideal of R with a generating set $\{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$. Let F be a free R -module of rank t with the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_t\}$ and let $f : F \rightarrow R$ be an R -linear map such that $f(e_i) = a_i$. Let $K_\bullet(I)$ be the Koszul complex of R with respect to $a_1, \dots, a_t$ and denote its homology modules by $H_*(I)$. In particular $H_0(I) = R/I$. By [3, Proposition 1.6.2], $K_\bullet(I)$ carries the structure of associated graded R -algebra namely $\bigwedge^R F$ where $\bigwedge^R F$ is the exterior algebra of the R -module F . By [3, Proposition 1.6.4], $H_*(I)$ has a structure of graded associated R/I -algebra inherited from $K_\bullet(I)$ and there is a unique homomorphism $\lambda_*^{R/I} : \bigwedge_*^{R/I} H_1(I) \rightarrow H_*(I)$ of graded R/I -algebras with $\lambda_1^{R/I}$ is identity (see [3, Sections 1.6 and 2.3] for more details).

Now recall from [1] the notion of quasi-complete intersection ideal.

Definition 3.1. An ideal I of a local ring R is called *quasi-complete intersection* if $H_1(I)$ is a free R/I -module and the canonical homomorphism of graded R/I -algebras

$$\lambda_*^{R/I} : \bigwedge_*^{R/I} H_1(I) \rightarrow H_*(I)$$

is bijective.

As mentioned in [1, 1.6], a principal ideal is a quasi-complete intersection if and only if it is generated by an R -regular element or an exact zero-divisor. It follows by [1, 7.8] and induction that any sequence of exact zero-divisors is a quasi-complete intersection ideal. Also one can conclude directly from the following result that any sequence of exact zero-divisors is a quasi-complete intersection ideal.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a local ring and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be non-zero and non-unit elements of R . Assume that $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the Koszul complex of R with respect to the ideal generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and that $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denotes i th homology. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors.
- (ii) $x_p \notin (x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$ and $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is a free $R/(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ -module of rank $\binom{p}{i}$ for all p , $1 \leq p \leq n$, and all i , $0 \leq i \leq p$.

Proof. For each p and i with $0 \leq p \leq n$ and $0 \leq i \leq p$, by [9, Theorem 16.4], there is the long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{(-1)^i x_p} H_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \longrightarrow H_i(x_1, \dots, x_p) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{i-1} x_p} \dots,$$

which gives the short exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})/x_p H_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \longrightarrow H_i(x_1, \dots, x_p) \longrightarrow 0 :_{H_{i-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})} x_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the claim is clear. Let $n > 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$. By induction $H_j(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$ is a free $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$ -module of rank $\binom{p-1}{j}$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. Hence $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})/x_p H_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$ is a free $R/(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ -module of rank $\binom{p-1}{i}$. On the other hand, $0 :_{H_{i-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})} x_p$ is a free $R/(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ -module of rank $\binom{p-1}{i-1}$. Therefore the short exact sequence 3.1 splits and so $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is a free $R/(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ -module of rank $\binom{p-1}{i} + \binom{p-1}{i-1} = \binom{p}{i}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For $n = 1$ it is clear. Let $n > 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$. Set $i = p$ in (3.1), we have $H_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) \cong 0 :_{H_{p-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})} x_p$. By assumptions, the right hand side is isomorphic to $R/(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, left hand side is isomorphic to $0 :_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})} x_p$ and $x_p \notin (x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$. Therefore x_p is an exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a set of minimal generators of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors.
- (ii) $R/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ is an artinian complete intersection ring for each i , $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). As $\mathfrak{m}$ is a quasi-complete intersection ideal so by [3, Theorem 2.3.11], R is complete intersection. As mentioned in Remark 2.2, $\dim R = \dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ and so R is artinian. The same argument holds true for the sequence $\overline{x_{i+1}}, \dots, \overline{x_n}$ in the ring $\overline{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ and so $R/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ is a complete intersection artinian ring for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It follows inductively by [1, 7.5 and 7.8]. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an artinian local ring such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}^n \neq 0$. If R admits a sequence of exact zero-divisors $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $\mu_R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n$, then R is a complete intersection. Moreover, assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is such a sequence with the twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y_j \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. First note that as $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = 0$ we have $\mathfrak{m}^n y_1 = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq Rx_1$. It follows that in the ring $R/(x_1)$, n th power of the maximal ideal is zero. Proceeding in this way we see that, over $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, square power of the maximal ideal is zero. But as x_n is an exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one can see that $\mathfrak{m}/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Hence $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and by Proposition 3.3, R is a complete intersection.

Note that if $y_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ then we will have $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subseteq Rx_1$ and as seen in the last part, we will have $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, which is a contradiction. By the same way we can conclude that $y_j \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. $\square$

Example 3.5. Let $R = K[X]/(X^4)$ where K is a field. Denote by x the image of X in R . Then x^3, x^2, x is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R but it is not minimal.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and M be a finitely generated R -module. Denote by $M^g = \text{gr}_R(M)$, the associated graded module of M with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that if F is free R -module, then F^g is free R^g -module. Let $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$ be a minimal free resolution of M . Recall, from [7], that M is called a *Koszul R -module* if the induced complex

$$\mathbf{F}^g : \cdots \rightarrow F_n^g(-n) \rightarrow F_{n-1}^g(-n+1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0^g \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic. In other words M is a Koszul R -module if and only if M^g has a linear resolution over R^g . The ring R is called a *Koszul ring* if its residue field k is Koszul R -module. See [7] and [12, §2] for details.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an artinian local ring such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}^n \neq 0$. Then the following statements hold true.*

- (i) *If $n = 2$, then R is Koszul complete intersection if and only if R admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length 2.*
- (ii) *If R is a standard graded k -algebra and admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length n , then R is a Koszul complete intersection.*
- (iii) *If $n = 3$ and R is a standard graded k -algebra with k algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, then R is Koszul complete intersection if and only if R admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length 3.*

Proof. (i) Assume R is a Koszul complete intersection. Then by [6, Corollary 4.5], $H_R(t) = (1+t)^2$, where $H_R(t)$ is the Hilbert series of R . It follows that $\mu_R(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$. Assume $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2)$. We show that x_1, x_2 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors. But as $R, R/(x_1)$ and $R/(x_1, x_2)$ are complete intersection, it follows by Proposition 3.3 that x_1, x_2 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors.

Conversely if R admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length 2, then by Corollary 3.4, R is complete intersection and $\mu_R(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$. It follows that $H_R(t) = (1+t)^2$ and so by [6, Corollary 4.5], R is Koszul.

(ii) It follows from Corollary 3.4 and [6, Corollary 1.12].

(iii) Let $Q = k[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]$ and $S = k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$, where Y_i and X_i are indeterminates, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. S has a structure of Q -module by multiplication $Y_i G := \partial G / \partial X_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$

and $G \in S$. As R is complete intersection, by [10, Theorem 2.1], $R \cong Q/(0 :_Q F)$, for some homogeneous polynomial $F \in S$ of degree 3. So we may assume that $R = Q/(0 :_Q F)$. As k is algebraically closed, then $V(F) = \{v \in k^3 | F(v) = 0\} \subset k^3$ is infinite set. Choose $v \in V(F)$ such that $v \neq 0$. Assume $v = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in k^3$ and set $l = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_3X_3 \in Q$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 R_i$ where $R_0 = k$ and consider the k -module map $h : R_1 \xrightarrow{l} R_2$. As $F(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0$, it follows from [10, Theorem 3.1] that h is not injective. So there is a linear form $l' \in R_1$ such that $l' \neq 0$ and $ll' = 0$. We show that (l, l') is a pair of exact zero-divisor on R . As $ll' = 0$ we have $Rl \subseteq (0 :_R l)$. Since R is a Koszul complete intersection so $R = Q/(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ where each α_i is quadric and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ is Q -regular sequence. As $ll' = 0$ in R so $ll' = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \alpha_i$ in Q , where $\lambda_i \in k$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. It follows that $(l, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (l, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and so that $R/(l)$ is a complete intersection. Hence $(0 :_R l)$ is principal ideal and so that $(0 :_R l) = Rl'$. Now considering $R/(l)$, as by [6, Corollary 1.12], $R/(l)$ is Koszul complete intersection, it follows by part (i) that $R/(l)$ admit a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length 2. Hence R admits a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors of length 3. $\square$

4. STRONG SEQUENCE OF EXACT ZERO-DIVISORS

We define strong sequences of exact zero-divisors and establish some conditions, under which, a sequence of exact zero-divisors is a strong one. We also study local rings whose maximal ideals are generated by a strong sequence of exact zero divisors.

Definition 4.1. Assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R with the twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. We introduce the following terminologies.

- (a) $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a *permutable* sequence of exact zero-divisors on R if every permutation of it is again a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R .
- (b) $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is an *strong* sequence of exact zero-divisors on R , if for any choice of distinct elements $i_1, \dots, i_k$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $k < n$, and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, (x_j, y_j) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$.

It is clear that any strong sequence of exact zero-divisors is permutable and any permutable sequence of exact zero-divisors is minimal. Indeed assume $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a permutable sequence of exact zero-divisors. If it is not minimal then there is a j , say $j = 1$, such that $x_1 \in (x_2, \dots, x_n)$. As $x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1$ is also a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R , x_1 is an exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_2, \dots, x_n)$. But $\overline{x_1} = 0$ in $R/(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which is a contradiction.

Example 4.2. Let K be a field and let $R = K[X_1, X_2]/(X_1^2 + X_2^2, X_1X_2)$. Denote by x_1, x_2 the images of X_1, X_2 in R , respectively. Then one can check that x_1, x_2 is a permutable sequence of exact zero-divisors on R but it is not strong. Indeed x_1, x_2 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R with twins x_2, x_2 and x_2, x_1 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R with the twins x_1, x_1 . So x_1, x_2 is not a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors.

In this section we study minimal and strong sequences of exact zero-divisors on a local ring. It is clear that if $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a minimal(permutable, strong) sequence of exact zero-divisors on R , then $x_1, \dots, x_i$ is also a minimal(permutable, strong) sequence of exact zero-divisors on R and $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ also is a minimal(permutable, strong) sequence of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let R be a local ring and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be non-zero and non-unit elements of R . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R .
- (ii) For any choice of distinct elements $i_1, \dots, i_k$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, x_j is an exact zero-divisor on R and

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x_j), R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

- (iii) For any choice of distinct elements $i_1, \dots, i_k$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, x_j is an exact zero-divisor on R and

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}), R/(x_j)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By assumption each x_j is an exact zero-divisor on R . For each j , denote y_j as a corresponding twin of x_j . As by definition, (x_j, y_j) also is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ for any choice of distinct elements $i_1, \dots, i_k$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, hence the results follows by Lemma 2.10.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It follows from Lemma 2.10. $\square$

The following lemma is an easy exercise.

Lemma 4.4. *Let R be a ring, and let M, N, K and L be R -modules. Consider a sequence*

$$(4.1) \quad M \xrightarrow{f} K \oplus L \xrightarrow{g} N$$

of R -homomorphisms such that $\text{Im } f \subseteq K \times (0) \subseteq \text{Ker } g$. Then (4.1) is exact if and only if the sequences $M \xrightarrow{f'} K \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{g'} N$ are exact, where f' is the composition $M \xrightarrow{f} K \oplus L \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} K$ and g' is the composition $L \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} K \oplus L \xrightarrow{g} N$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be a local ring and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ elements in R . Then $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors if and only if it is minimal and there exist twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$ of $x_1, \dots, x_n$, respectively, such that $x_j y_j = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) It is clear by definition.

($\Leftarrow$) That is enough to prove that $x_2, x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors with the twins $y_2, y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. Hence we may assume that $n = 2$. Let $K_\bullet(x_1)$, $K_\bullet(x_2)$ and $K_\bullet(x_1, x_2)$ be the Koszul complexes of R with respect to the ideals (x_1) , (x_2) and (x_1, x_2) , respectively, and $H_*(x_1)$, $H_*(x_2)$ and $H_*(x_1, x_2)$ be their corresponding homologies. We prove the claim in two steps.

Step 1. We prove (x_1, y_1) is a pair of exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_2)$. Consider the exact sequences of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_1) \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_1)(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_2) \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow K_{\bullet}(x_2)(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

which imply the long exact sequences of homologies

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (0 :_R (x_1, x_2)) \longrightarrow (0 :_R x_1) \xrightarrow{-x_2} \\ (0 :_R x_1) &\xrightarrow{f} H_1(x_1, x_2) \xrightarrow{g} R/(x_1) \xrightarrow{x_2} R/(x_1) \longrightarrow R/(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (0 :_R (x_1, x_2)) \longrightarrow (0 :_R x_2) \xrightarrow{x_1} \\ (0 :_R x_2) &\xrightarrow{f'} H_1(x_1, x_2) \xrightarrow{g'} R/(x_2) \xrightarrow{x_1} R/(x_2) \longrightarrow R/(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $-x_2$ and x_1 are connecting homomorphisms and f, g, f' and g' are the natural maps. Denote the i th differential of $K_{\bullet}(x_1, x_2)$ by $d_i : K_i(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow K_{i-1}(x_1, x_2)$. Then $\text{Im } d_2 = R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle$ where $R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle$ is R -submodule of $K_1(x_1, x_2)$ generated by the element $\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle \in K_1(x_1, x_2)$. From (4.2) we obtain the following exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (0 :_R x_1)/x_2(0 :_R x_1) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} H_1(x_1, x_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} 0 :_{R/(x_1)} x_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\bar{f}(\lambda + x_2(0 :_R x_1)) = \langle \lambda, 0 \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle$ and $\bar{g}(\langle u, v \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle) = v + (x_1)$. Note that $(0 :_R x_1)/x_2(0 :_R x_1) = (y_1)/(x_2 y_1) \cong R/(x_1, x_2)$ under the map $ry_1 + (x_2 y_1) \longrightarrow r + (x_1, x_2)$. Note that as x_2 is an exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_1)$ so that $\text{Im } \bar{g} = 0 :_{R/(x_1)} x_2 \cong R/(x_1, x_2)$. Hence the exact sequence (4.4) splits and so we find that $H_1(x_1, x_2) = \text{Im } \bar{f} \oplus K$ for some submodule K of $H_1(x_1, y_1)$ such that $K \cong \text{Im } \bar{g}$. As by assumption $x_2 y_2 = 0$, one can easily check that K is generated by $\langle 0, y_2 \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle \in H_1(x_1, x_2)$.

Next consider the long exact sequence (4.3). One can check that $f'(\alpha) = \langle 0, \alpha \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle \in H_1(x_1, x_2)$ for all $\alpha \in (0 :_R x_2)$ and $g'(\langle u, v \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle) = u + (x_2) \in R/(x_2)$ for all $\langle u, v \rangle + R\langle -x_2, x_1 \rangle \in H_1(x_1, x_2)$. Hence $\text{Im } f' \subseteq 0 \times K \subseteq \text{Ker } g'$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that the exact sequence (4.3) decomposes into the following two exact sequences

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (0 :_R (x_1, x_2)) \longrightarrow (0 :_R x_2) \xrightarrow{x_1} (0 :_R x_2) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Im } \bar{f} \longrightarrow R/(x_2) \xrightarrow{x_1} R/(x_2) \longrightarrow R/(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By (4.6), we have $0 :_{R/(x_2)} x_1 \cong \text{Im } \bar{f} \cong R/(x_1, x_2)$. In other words x_1 is an exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_2)$. On the other hand $0 :_{R/(x_2)} x_1$ is generated by $y_1 + (x_2) \in R/(x_2)$ which implies that (x_1, y_1) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_2)$.

Step 2. As $K \cong R/(x_1, x_2)$, the exact sequence (4.5) shows that $(0 :_R x_2)/x_1(0 :_R x_2)$ is a cyclic R -module. Now, Nakayama Lemma implies that $0 :_R x_2$ is a principal ideal. Let $z \in R$ be such that $(0 :_R x_2) = Rz$. Then $y_2 \in Rz$ and there is an exact sequence

$$(4.7) \quad R \xrightarrow{z} R \xrightarrow{x_2} R \longrightarrow R/(x_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As concluded in Step 1, (x_1, y_1) is pair of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_2)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.10, $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/(x_2), R/(x_1)) = 0$. As a result, tensoring (4.7) by $R/(x_1)$ implies the exact sequence $R/(x_1) \xrightarrow{z} R/(x_1) \xrightarrow{x_2} R/(x_1) \longrightarrow R/(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow 0$. As (x_2, y_2) is pair of exact zero-divisor on $R/(x_1)$, it follows that $(x_1, y_2)/(x_1) = (x_1, z)/(x_1)$ and as $y_2 \in Rz$, we have $Ry_2 = Rz$.

Now we show that $(0 :_R y_2) = Rx_2$. Note that as x_1, y_2 also is a sequence of exact zero-divisors with the twins y_1, x_2 , by the last part, it is enough to show x_1, y_2 satisfies in the assumptions of the proposition. As $x_2 y_2 = 0$, it is enough to show that $\mu_R(x_1, y_2) = 2$. Clearly we have $y_2 \notin Rx_1$. Assume $x_1 \in Ry_2$. Then $x_1 = ry_2$ for some $r \in R$. Hence $\overline{ry_2} = 0$ in $R/(x_1)$. As $(\overline{x_2}, \overline{y_2})$ is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $R/(x_1)$, we have $\overline{r} \in (\overline{x_2})$. Hence we can write $r = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2$ where $t_1, t_2 \in R$. Thus $x_1 = t_1 x_1 y_2$ and therefore $x_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore x_1, y_2 is a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors. Hence similarly $(0 :_R y_2) = Rx_2$. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be a local ring and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a minimal sequence of exact zero-divisors on R with twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$ respectively. Then $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors if and only if for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist elements $r_1, \dots, r_{i-1} \in R$ such that $y_i + r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_{i-1} x_{i-1} \in (0 :_R x_i)$.*

Proof. Replacing y_i with $y_i + r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_{i-1} x_{i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the result follows by Proposition 4.5. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Note that in Proposition 3.6 (i), we can find a strong sequence of exact zero divisors of length 2 such that generates $\mathfrak{m}$. Indeed let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2)$. As we saw x_1, x_2 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors. Let y_1, y_2 be twins of x_1, x_2 respectively. Then one can see that over $R/(x_1)$, $y_2 + (x_1) = x_2 + (x_1)$. Hence we can assume $y_2 = x_2$. If there is an element $r \in R$ such that $x_2 + rx_1 \in (0 :_R x_2)$, then we are done by Corollary 4.6. Assume for all $r \in R$, $x_2 + rx_1 \notin (0 :_R x_2)$. It follows that $x_1 x_2 = 0$. Now set $u = x_1 + x_2$ and note that $0 :_R u = Rz$ for some $z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then $z = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$ for some non-zero units $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R$. Replacing u with x_2 we are done.

Example 4.8. Let $R = K[X_1, X_2, X_3]/(X_1^2, X_2^2 + X_1 X_3, X_3^2)$ where K is a field. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be the images of X_1, X_2, X_3 in R . Then one can easily check that x_1, x_2, x_3 is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on R . We have $\mu_R(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 3$ and $R/(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cong K$ is a regular ring, but x_1, x_2, x_3 is not permutable. Indeed if x_2, x_1, x_3 is also a sequence of exact zero-divisors, then by Proposition 3.3, $R/(x_2)$ must be a complete intersection but one can easily check that it is not even a Gorenstein ring.

Lemma 4.9. *Let R be a local ring and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R with twins $y_1, \dots, y_n$, respectively. Then $z_1, \dots, z_n$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R whenever $z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ is also a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R . By Proposition 4.3, $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_1), R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and any choice $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. As $R/(y_1)$ is a syzygy of $R/(x_1)$, it follows that $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(y_1), R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Let $j \notin \{1, i_1, \dots, i_k\}$. Then by Proposition 2.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_j), R/(y_1, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R/(y_1)}(R/(y_1, x_j), R/(y_1, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(y_1, x_j), R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R/(x_j)}(R/(y_1, x_j), R/(x_j, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(y_1), R/(x_j, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from Proposition 4.3. $\square$

Lemma 4.10. *Let R be a local ring and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R . Then $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on $R/\alpha R$ for each R -regular element $\alpha \in R$.*

Proof. Let α be an R -regular element and set $\overline{R} = R/(\alpha)$. Let y_i be the corresponding twin of x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}$ is a sequence of exact zero-divisors on $\overline{R}$. As $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors, α is $R/(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ -regular element, for any choice $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$. Then we have by [9, Lemma2 page 140], $\text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{R}/(\overline{x_j}), \overline{R}/(\overline{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_k}})) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_j), \overline{R}/(\overline{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_k}}))$. But as (x_j, y_j) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $\overline{R}/(\overline{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_k}})$, $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/(x_j), \overline{R}/(\overline{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_k}})) = 0$, by Lemma 2.10. Now the result follows by Proposition 4.3. $\square$

For a local ring R , the following result gives us an upper bound for the length of a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R in terms of $e(R)$, the multiplicity of R .

Theorem 4.11. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring. Let $e(R)$ be the multiplicity of R with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then*

- (i) *The length of any strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R is less than or equal to $\text{Log}_2(e(R))$.*
- (ii) *Assume that R is unmixed. If there is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R of length equal to the integer part of $\text{Log}_2(e(R))$, then R is a complete intersection.*
- (iii) *Assume that R is unmixed. If there is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on R such that $n = \text{Log}_2(e(R))$, then R is a Koszul complete intersection.*

Proof. (i) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R and let y_i be the twin of x_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, Lemma 4.9 implies that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, x_i) \longrightarrow R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}) \longrightarrow R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, y_i) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $z_j \in \{x_j, y_j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. By [9, Theorem 14.6], we have

$$e(R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1})) = e(R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, x_i)) + e(R/(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, y_i)).$$

Therefore

$$(4.8) \quad e(R) = \sum_{\substack{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\} \\ i=1, \dots, n}} e(R/(z_1, \dots, z_n)).$$

As $\dim R/(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \dim R$, one has $e(R/(z_1, \dots, z_n)) > 0$, and so $e(R) \geq 2^n$. Thus $n \leq \log_2(e(R))$.

(ii) Assume that there is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on R of length n equal to the integer part of $\log_2(e(R))$. By equation (4.8), there is an strong sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of exact zero divisors on R with $e(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 1$. As $\text{Ass}_R R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq \text{Ass } R$, the ring $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unmixed too. Hence, by [11, Theorem 40.6], $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a regular ring. As $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a quasi-complete intersection ideal, by [1, 7.5], R is complete intersection.

(iii) By last part R is complete intersection and $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is regular ring. Let $d = \dim R$. We can choose a regular sequence $u_1, \dots, u_d$ in R such that their image in $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a regular system of parameters. By Lemma 4.10, $x_1, \dots, x_n$ also is a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors on $R/(u_1, \dots, u_d)$. Hence we may assume that $d = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and as $e(R) = 2^n$, the result follows by [6, 4.5]. $\square$

As we saw in Example 4.8, a sequence of exact zero-divisors which the quotient ring is regular may not be even permutable. In the following we give condition on a complete intersection ring to have a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a regular local ring and let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ be an ideal of S which is generated by an S -regular sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$. Assume that for $i = 1, \dots, t$, each ideal (α_i) has an associated prime ideal which is not contained in $\mathfrak{n}^2$. Set $R = S/I$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$. Then R has a strong sequence of exact zero-divisors, of maximal length $\dim S - \dim R$, contained in $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Moreover if $x_1, \dots, x_t$ is such a sequence, then $R/(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ is a regular ring.*

Proof. First we prove the case $I = (\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ be a non-zero element. Let $S\alpha = \cap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_i$ be the minimal primary decomposition of the ideal I where $\mathfrak{q}_i$ is $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary ideal for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. We have $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}_i = 1$ and as S is UFD, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is principal ideal for all $i = 1, \dots, s$ (see [9, Theorem 20.1 and Theorem 20.3]). For each i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, we set $\mathfrak{p}_i = Sz_i$, and one can easily check that $\mathfrak{q}_i = Sz_i^{k_i}$ for some positive integer k_i and so $S\alpha = Sz_1^{k_1} \dots z_s^{k_s}$. By our assumption, we may assume that $z_1 \in \mathfrak{n} \setminus \mathfrak{n}^2$. Set x and y for the images of z_1 and $z_1^{k_1-1} \dots z_s^{k_s}$ in R , respectively. Then it follows from [8, Example 2.4] that (x, y) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . Note that $R/(x) = S/(z_1)$ is a regular ring.

Next let $t > 1$. Set $S' := S/(\alpha_1)$ and denote $(-)' : S \rightarrow S'$ the natural map. As mentioned, S' has a pair of exact zero-divisors (x'_1, y'_1) , such that $x'_1 \in \mathfrak{n}' \setminus \mathfrak{n}'^2$, where $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{n}/(\alpha_1)$ is the maximal ideal of S' . Note that $\alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_t$ is an S' -regular sequence so that, by Proposition 2.5, (x'_1, y'_1) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on $S'/(\alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_t) S' = R$. Let

x_1 and y_1 be the images of x'_1 and y'_1 in R , respectively. Then (x_1, y_1) is a pair of exact zero-divisors on R . As in the case $n = 1$, choose an element $z_1 \in S$ such that its image in S' is x'_1 . Then we have

$$R/(x_1) = S'/(x'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_t) = S/(z_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t) = \frac{S}{(z_1)}/(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \frac{S}{(z_1)}.$$

Note that as $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ is a regular sequence in S , then the principal ideals $(\alpha_1), \dots, (\alpha_t)$ have no common prime divisors. For each i , $i = 2, \dots, t$, assume that (u_i) denotes a prime divisor of (α_i) which is not contained in $\mathfrak{n}^2$. Set $\overline{S} = S/(z_1)$. As $\overline{S} = S'/(x'_1)$ is a regular ring, one can check that the principal ideal $(\overline{\alpha_i})$ has a prime divisor $(\overline{u_i})$ which is not contained in $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^2$. Note that $\overline{\alpha_2}, \dots, \overline{\alpha_t}$ is $\overline{S}$ -regular sequence. Hence the result follows by induction. $\square$

Acknowledgement The authors are grateful to Roger Wiegand, Luchzar Avramov and Alexandra Seceleanu for their discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. L. L. Avramov, I. A. Henriques and L. M. Şega, *Quasi-Complete Intersection Homomorphisms*, arXiv:1010.2143v2 [math.AC] 11 Octobr 2010.
2. P. A. Bergh, O. Celikbas and D. A. Jorgensen, *Homological Algebra Modulo Exact zero-divisors*, arXiv:1012.3010v1[math.AC] 13 December 2010.
3. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
4. L. W. Christensen, D. A. Jorgensen, H. Rahmati, J. Striuli and R. Wiegand, *BrauerThral for totally reflexive modules*, arxiv:1008.1737v2 math, 2010.
5. L. Fouli and C. Huneke, *What is a system of parameters?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.(2011) 139, Number 8, 2681-2696.
6. I. B. Henriques and L. M. Şega, *Free resolutions over short Gorenstein local rings*, Math. Z. (2011) 267:645–663.
7. J. Herzog and S. Iyengar, *Koszul modules*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 201, 154188 (2005)
8. H. Holm, *Construction of totally reflexive modules from an exact pair of zero divisors*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (2010) 1-11.
9. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press 1986.
10. T. Maeno and J. Watanabe, *Lefschetz elements of Artinian Gorenstein algebras and Hessians of homogeneous polynomials*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics Volume 53, Number 2, Summer 2009, Pages 591-603.
11. M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, Huntington, New York, 1975.
12. L. M. Şega, *Homological properties of powers of the maximal ideal*, J. Algebra 241, 827858 (2001)

^{1,2} FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, TARBIAT MOALLEM UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN; AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: dibaeimt@ipm.ir

E-mail address: mohsen.gheibi@gmail.com